IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION No. 2695 OF 2003

PETITIONERS

1. Vazir Khan, aged about 43 years, S/o Hussain Khan, working as Head Constable (Electric) posted at Range Police, Motor Transport Workshop, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

2. Somnath Shakywal, aged about 37 years, S/o Ghasiram Shakywal, working as Head Constable (Mechanical) posted at Range Office, Motor Transport Workshop, Bilaspur, Dist. Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

3. Shobharam Patel, aged about 36 yeas, S/o Murlidhar Patel, working as Head Constable (Mechanical), posted at Range Office, Motor Transport Workshop, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

P. R. No. 2532/03
Presented by Shri V. R. Tiwari
dated 26.08.03

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. Union of India, through the Secretary, Department of Personal & Training, Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi

2. State of Madhya Pradesh through the Secretary, Department of Home Affairs, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.)

3. State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary, Department of Home Affairs D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

4. Advisory Committee (Lohani) constituted under section 71 of Madhya Pradesh Reorganisation Act 2000, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh)

copy recived
26.8.03
C.V.S. Murthy

RECEIVED COPY
26/8/03
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Writ Petition No. 2695 of 2003

Petitioners		Vazir Khan and two others
	Versus	
Respondents		Union of India & others

Shri Rajendra Tripathi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Shri S. K. Beriwal, Standing counsel for Respondent No. 1.

Shri Sachin Singh Rajput for Respondent No. 2 & 4.

Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel lawyer for the respondent No. 3.

ORAL ORDER

(8th February, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are working in the Range office, Motor Transport Workshop, Bilaspur. The petitioners had submitted their option for serving in the State of Madhya Pradesh. The petitioners have been allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh and they want their reallocation to the State of Madhya Pradesh. Learned counsel for the petitioners, on instruction, submits that the petitioners be granted liberty to make a representation to the respondents for redressal of their grievances. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection to the said submission.

2. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of finally, with liberty to the petitioners to make an appropriate representation to the respondents/authorities concerned, within a period of two weeks from today, and the respondents/authorities are directed to consider and decide the same, in an objective manner, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the representation, if any.

Certified copy, as per Rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur